



## Planes and boats and trains – Airport bonds (Part 2 of 3)

September 26, 2016

Dick Larkin

Director of Credit Analysis

Stoever Glass & Co., Inc.

P: (862) 432-8818

E: [dlarkin@stoeverglass.com](mailto:dlarkin@stoeverglass.com)

Like airports, there are two types of sea-based port facilities. General purpose ports depend on warehouse and storage rental fees, loading and unloading fees, and passenger fees for those ports that serve tourist cruise liners. Most bonds in this category are rated in the BBB to A categories, slightly more risky than airport bonds. Here, the risks go beyond local demand. Cargo must find its way out of a port to other transportation means, such as rail or truck. Improvements in those shipping facilities could divert freight from one port to another. For example, ports that offer "roll-on/roll-off" service might lower costs enough to justify ports that may be further away from local markets. Fuel costs, such as gasoline or diesel, could also shift port usage to locations that are closer to the local and regional markets.

For example, many would think that Miami is the largest seaport shipping operation in Florida. However, by 2015, Fort Lauderdale/Port Everglades exerted its popularity and demand in these following statistics:

- No. 1 seaport in Florida by revenue
- No. 1 container port in Florida by volume
- No. 1 seaport for exports in Florida
- No. 1 refrigerated cargo port in Florida
- No. 1 U.S. gateway for trade with Latin America in fiscal year 2014
- No. 2 petroleum port in Florida – 112.4 million barrels in fiscal year 2014
- No. 2 cruise port for multi-day passengers in the world

I am not worried about Miami or Fort Lauderdale's port credit risk. Both have international demand. Port bonds that should cause concern would be those that serve small markets, with specialized facilities, such as a coal-loading port that serves only one city or small regional market.

## About the Author

### **RICHARD P. LARKIN, Stoever Glass & Co., Inc.**

*Richard Larkin, a recognized 40 year industry veteran, has joined SG & Co. as Director of Municipal Credit Analysis. Mr. Larkin began his career in credit rating analysis at Standard & Poor's in 1975. After rising through the ranks in various analytical and management positions, Dick left S&P as its Chief Municipal Rating Officer, responsible for municipal rating policy, practices, governance and criteria. In 1998, Mr. Larkin joined Fitch Ratings as Chairman of its Public Finance Criteria Committee. He ultimately left to take leading roles in municipal hi-yield analysis at firms JB Hanauer & HJ Sims.*



*“Dick will be a unique resource to Stoever Glass' municipal clients. His knowledge in specific issues and areas of the municipal bond industry will aid our representatives and their clients in making educated decisions, in a sector where this type of research is unique,” said Roland Stoever of Stoever Glass.*



#### **Disclosures:**

This report is published by Stoever Glass & Co. This publication is for your information only and is not intended as an offer, or a solicitation of an offer, to buy or sell any investment or other specific product. The analysis contained herein does not constitute a personal recommendation or take into account the particular investment objectives, investment strategies, financial situation and needs of any specific recipient. It is based on numerous assumptions. Different assumptions could result in materially different results. We recommend that you obtain financial and/or tax advice as to the implications (including tax) of investing in the manner described or in any of the products mentioned herein. Certain services and products are subject to legal restrictions and cannot be offered worldwide on an unrestricted basis and/or may not be eligible for sale to all investors. All information and opinions expressed in this document were obtained from sources believed to be reliable and in good faith, but no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to its accuracy or completeness (other than disclosures relating to Stoever Glass & Co). All information and opinions as well as any prices indicated are current only as of the date of this report, and are subject to change without notice. Opinions expressed herein may differ or be contrary to those expressed by other business areas or divisions of Stoever Glass & Co. as a result of using different assumptions and/or criteria. At any time, investment decisions (including whether to buy, sell or hold securities) made by Stoever Glass & Co. , its affiliates and employees may differ from or be contrary to the opinions expressed in Stoever Glass & Co. research publications. Some investments may not be readily realizable since the market in the securities is illiquid and therefore valuing the investment and identifying the risk to which you are exposed may be difficult to quantify.

Past performance of an investment is no guarantee for its future performance. Some investments may be subject to sudden and large falls in value and on realization you may receive back less than you invested or may be required to pay more. This report is for distribution only under such circumstances as may be permitted by applicable law.

The contents of this report have not been and will not be approved by any securities or investment authority in the United States or elsewhere. Stoever Glass & Co. is not acting as a municipal advisor to any municipal entity or obligated person within the meaning of Section 15B of the Securities Exchange Act (the "Municipal Advisor Rule") and the opinions or views contained herein are not intended to be, and do not constitute, advice within the meaning of the Municipal Advisor Rule.